

TENTATIVE TRAINING OUTLINE

Training-Workshop for Peer Reviewers Using the Scopus and Thomson Reuters Standards

March 15-17, 2018 Castle Peak Hotel, Cebu City, Philippines

Objectives of the Training-Workshop:

- (1) To learn the journal publication standards of Scopus and Thomson Reuters (ISI) as the framework of peer review;
- (2) To determine the roles and functions of peer reviewers in the quality assurance system of scientific journals;
- (3) To acquire skills in peer reviews; and
- (4) To compare various peer review forms as basis for benchmarking

Time	Session/s	Method/Activity
Day 1 Mar 15, 2018		
8:00-8:30 am	Registration and distribution of training kits and IDs	
8:31-8:35 am	Opening Program Invocation National Anthem Introduction of the Resource Speaker	
8:36-9:45 am	Session 1 Sharing of Experiences in Peer Review as Reviewer and As a Writer Who Complied the Reviewer's Instructions	SHARING
9:46-12:00 nn	Session 2 The Standards of Scopus and Thomson Reuters as Framework for Determining Quality of Publishable Paper	LECTURE/WORKSHOP

- 4-2F Montblanc Bidg., 848 Burgos-Chaves Sts., Cagayan de Oro, Philippines
- http:// aseanresearch.org/ astr
- astr@aseanresearch. org
- +63 (088) 323 4282
- http:// facebook.com/ astr2016



astr

astr@aseanresearch. org

+63 (088) 323 4282

http:// facebook.com/ astr2016

	Sub-sessions:	
	Determining a sky of in some file	
	Determining quality of journals (low	
	tier, middle tier, high-end through the	
	Scopus Elsevier and	
10.01.1.00	Thomson Reuters Standards	
12:01-1:00 pm	LUNCHBREAK	
1:01-2:30 pm	Session 3	
	A CAN HANGE BUILDING	
	Anatomy of Well Written Publishable	LECTURE AMORACIAN
	Papers	LECTURE/WORKSHOP
2 21 4 00	Consider A	
2:31-4:00 pm	Session 4	
	Examining Various Specimen of Peer	I ECTUDE /WODVSHOD
		LECTURE/WORKSHOP
	Review Reports Forms: Basis for Basis for Conceptualizing a Good Peer	
	Review Report	
	Keview Kepoi t	
4:01-4:45 pm	Session 5	
1.01 1.10 pm	Session 5	
	Examining Various Specimen of Peer	
		LECTURE/WORKSHOP
	Identifying Parameters of Good	
	Scientific Writing	
	, ,	
4:46-5:00 pm	Wrap-up of Day 1 sessions	
D 0	Open Forum	
Day 2		
Mar 16, 2018		
8:00-8:30am	Desistration	
	Registration	
8:31-9:00 am	Opening Program	
	Invocation	LECTURE/WORKSHOP
	National Anthem	LECTURE/WURKSHUP
	Recapitulation of Day 1 sessions	
	Recupitation of Day 1 sessions	
9:01-10:00 am	Session 6	
	Ctong in Waiting a Dear Desire	I COTUDE /WODISHOP
	Steps in Writing a Peer Review	LECTURE/WURKSHUP
	Report: Insights into the Peer	
	Reviewers' Preparation Prior to Peer	
	Review	



4-2F Montblanc Bldg., 848 Burgos-Chaves Sts., Cagayan de Oro, Philippines

http:// aseanresearch.org/ astr

astr@aseanresearch.

+63 (088) 323 4282

http:// facebook.com/ astr2016

10:01-12 nn	Session 7	
	Qualities of a Well Written Peer Review Report	LECTURE/WORKSHOP
12:01-1:00 pm	LUNCHBREAK	
1:01-2:45 pm	Session 8	LECTURE/WORKSHOP
	Writing Justification to the Review Results whether Accepted or Rejected	
2:46-4:00 pm	Session 9	
	The Critical Causes of Rejection of Scientific Papers: Peer Reviewers Lens	LECTURE/WORKSHOP
4:01-5:00 pm	Session 10	
	New Technologies as Aid in Determining Quality of Scientific Papers	LECTURE/WORKSHOP
	Closing Program	
	Sharing of Impressions Distribution of Certificates	
Day 3 Mar 17, 2018	Individual Exploration of Cebu City	

Requirement:

Participants are encouraged to bring a laptop and mobile/pocket wi-fi for the discussion, exercises and workshops.